

Page 7 of 13

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 07 2006****REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Responsive to the Office Communication dated July 7, 2006, Applicant has amended the subject application to address each of the grounds of claim rejection raised by the Examiner as well as to address other objections raised by the Examiner. Claims 1 – 34 were pending in the original application. Pursuant to a restriction requirement, Claims 4-5 and 22-34 were previously withdrawn from consideration. Election of Claims 1, 2 and 6-21 was made without traverse. In Amendment A filed on or about May 19, 2006, Applicant cancelled Claims 1, 2, 12 and 20 and offered new Claim 35 while amending Claims 6-8, 10-11 and 15-17. Claims 6-11, 13-19, 21 and 35 were pending and were rejected in the Office Communication of July 7, 2006.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected Claim 35 under 35 USC §112 first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner has asserted that the Claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor at the time the application was filed had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant respectfully disagrees that the rejected subject matter is not adequately described in the specification. The subject matter is disclosed in paragraph 0020 of the pre-grant publication document. Paragraph 0037 teaches that the invention includes “a control system (See Fig. 2) containing necessary control equipment for varying heating source energy at a program power level or coating surface temperature (14) for an appropriate processing time. All necessary control circuits (11), for delivering pulsing signals to the energy source to maintain the desired power temperature level (6).” The disclosure thus teaches that the control system is adapted to vary the heating source energy in accordance with coating surface temperature or a program power level. Paragraph 0054 and 0055 of the pre-grant publication disclose monitoring the temperature of the work piece or the coating surface. Applicant respectfully suggests that monitoring temperature by means of a temperature detector, wherein this information is transmitted to the controller for the purpose of varying the heating

Page 8 of 13

source energy (Paragraph 0037) supports the rejected subject matter “analyzing the treatment of coating on the coated articles and subsequently controlling treatment of the coated articles in accordance with the previous analysis.”

Notwithstanding Applicant’s belief that the Examiner’s rejection is not well taken, Applicant has deleted Claim 35 and substituted Claim 36 in which the rejected language is replaced by the claim limitation “a programmable controller operatively communicated to the infrared heating energy source for controlling treatment of the coated article.” This claim limitation is supported in the specification including in Paragraph 0037 of the pre-grant publication document (“Also included is a control system ... containing necessary control equipment for varying heating source energy ...”), Paragraph 0030 (“The energy source may be programmed for power level set, or coating surface temperature “closed loop” control.”).

Applicant further notes that “controllers,” which may be programmable to control heating energy sources in response to preprogrammed instructions or an input command signal (such as a temperature value) as set forth in the disclosure of the present invention would be readily understood and able to be practiced by one of ordinary skill in the art.

The Examiner’s suggestion that the recitation is considered new matter which lacks support from the originally filed application is unclear. Applicant notes that this recitation was disclosed in Paragraph 0020 of the pre-grant publication document and was in the original claims of the application as filed. Thus Applicant does not believe that any portion of the recitation in Claim 35 constitutes new matter lacking support in the originally filed application. Indeed, as noted in Amendment A, Claim 35 was essentially the combination of Claims 1 and 2 of the original patent application with a modification to the description of the infrared heating energy source. The particular element objected to now was contained in original Claim 2.

The Examiner further rejected Claim 35 under 35 USC §112 second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention. The Examiner has cited a lack of a positive antecedent basis. As noted, Applicant has canceled Claim 35 and substituted Claim 36, which it believes has no such lack of antecedent basis as alleged.

Page 9 of 13

In light of the arguments presented above concerning Claim 36 and the cancellation of Claim 35, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection under 35 USC §112 first and second paragraphs.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 35, 6-11, 14-19 and 21 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudd (U.S. 5,901,462) in view of Anderson (U.S. 5,124,552).

Applicant would like to address Anderson first. The Examiner has proposed that Anderson discloses "at least one infrared heating energy source ... selectively programmable to produce short, medium, and long wave length infrared energy." Applicant respectfully disagrees that Anderson teaches an infrared heating energy source that is selectively programmable to produce short, medium, and long wave length infrared energy. Anderson teaches an infrared web moisture sensor which comprises "a source of infrared radiation for directing an infrared beam through the web and an infrared detecting unit on the other side of the web which measures the transmission of the infrared beam through the web at three separate wavelength regions." (See Abstract) Anderson notes that "the transmission in the first wavelength region is primarily sensitive to the moisture content of the web. The transmission in the second wavelength region is less sensitive to the moisture content. The transmission in the third wavelength region provides an indication of the web temperature." (See Abstract) Anderson notes that "the water and web fibers absorb certain wavelengths of the infrared spectrum more effectively than other wavelengths so that their absorption peaks and valleys at various wavelengths along the spectrum. Moreover, these peaks and valleys shift to shorter wavelengths with increases in web temperature and to longer wavelengths with decreases in web temperature." In referencing prior art U.S. Patent No. 4,928,013, Anderson notes that the infrared absorption peak for water is about "1.93 microns." Anderson goes on to note, with respect to the '013 patent that "As the web temperature increases, the intensity of detected infrared radiation increases at the long wavelength *side* of the pass band filter, while an approximately equal decrease in the detected infrared occurs at the opposite short wavelength *side* of the pass band." (Emphasis added)

Page 10 of 13

It is evident from the disclosure, that Anderson is concerned with the spectrum surrounding the infrared absorption peak of water. This is evident by the fact that the filters which are taught as detecting infrared energy which passes through the web are selected to have a detection range from 1.680 to 2.050 microns. This range of 1.68 to 2.05 microns falls squarely within the medium wavelength infrared range which extends from about 1.4 to about 3 microns. Long wavelength infrared extends from about 3 to about 8 microns and short wavelength infrared extends from about 0.78 to about 1.4 microns. There is nothing in Anderson which would suggest or teach an energy source selectively programmable to produce short, medium and long wavelength infrared energy. Anderson teaches the detection of infrared energy in a very select range of wavelengths, which all fall in a medium wavelength infrared spectrum.

Not only does Anderson not teach an infrared energy source capable of being selectively programmable to produce short and long wavelength infrared energy, but there would be no purpose in Anderson for providing such an infrared heating energy source. Short and long wave infrared wavelengths are beyond the scope of what would be detected by the apparatus in Anderson and are beyond the scope of what would be thought useful for the purpose that Anderson is trying to achieve. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's characterization of Anderson which refers merely to the "long wavelength side" and the "short wavelength side" of the band pass filter and to "shorter wavelengths" and "longer wavelengths" of infrared energy. These disclosures are not equivalent to suggesting that Anderson teaches an infrared energy source which produces short, medium, and long wavelength infrared energy. These merely reflect direction along the spectrum. Anderson does not, therefore, teach the element of Claim 36 of "one infrared heating source ... selectively programmable to produce short, medium and long wavelength infrared energy."

The Examiner has also cited Rudd (U.S. 5,901,462) as disclosing at least one infrared heating energy source, at least one UV heating energy source, conveying means, programmable recording controller and a temperature monitor. As noted by the Examiner, Rudd does not teach an infrared energy source that is selectively programmable of short, medium and long wavelength infrared energy. Moreover, Rudd does not teach a temperature monitor for detection of the article temperature. A central distinction between the invention of the subject application

Page 11 of 13

and Rudd is that the present invention transmits energy from the infrared energy source to the article itself – the article being a coated substrate. In Rudd, heat is directed to a heat conductive roll 332 over which a substrate passes. “The substrate is supported about a thermally conductive roll having a plurality of energy emitters disposed within the conductive roll along the length of the conductive roll.” See Abstract. Energy is thus transmitted to the roll and then absorbed from the roll into the substrate. See Column 23, Lines 8-10. Rudd specifically discloses that its invention includes “means for sensing the temperatures *of the roll* along the length of the conductive roll ...” (See Abstract (emphasis added)). Later, Rudd notes “Temperature sensors 409 further enable precise control of the surface temperature along the roll 332 to control the amount of heat delivered to substrate 12.” See Column 23, lines 47-49. As noted the claims of the subject invention requires a temperature monitor for detection of the article temperature. This is not taught in Rudd. The distinction reflects the difference in the manner in which energy is transmitted into the substrate. Rudd teaches the conveyance of energy into a roller, which energy then is absorbed into the substrate, which must be in contact with the roller in order to effectively transmit heat. Thus, the temperature of the roller is the subject of control in Rudd. Applicant’s invention relies on direct transmission of energy into the substrate and the coating. Thus, monitoring the temperature of the substrate or the coating of the article is preferable to monitoring an auxiliary or associated component within the larger apparatus (namely, the roll).

Applicant further notes that because Rudd mandates use of a thermally conductive roll having energy emitters disposed within the roll which transmit energy to the roll which is then conducted into the substrate, it is necessary that the substrate maintain contact with the roll in order to provide adequate heat absorption. Based on the Rudd design, this mandates the use of flexible substrates having the capacity to maintain contact with a circular roll. Applicant’s device has no such limitation and teaches its application to coatings applied to various work piece materials including “metal, wood, paper, plastic, glass, and ceramics.” The Rudd device simply would not work with glass or ceramics or metal, or wood or plastic substrates having any thickness. The substrates simply would not follow the contour of the conductive roll. Thus, one looking to create a pilot test system having flexibility for use with a variety of substrates and

Page 12 of 13

coatings would not look to Rudd as suitable art given the obvious limitations in the design of Rudd.

In light of the arguments presented above, most notably the argument that neither Rudd nor Anderson nor any other prior art reference cited by the Examiner to this point recites an apparatus having all of the limitations set forth in Claim 36 including the infrared heating energy source that is "selectively programmable to produce short, medium, and long wavelength infrared energy," Application respectfully argues that Claim 36 overcomes the prior art reference combination of Rudd and Anderson and that this claim should be allowed. Claim 6-11, 14-19 and 21 as well as new Claims 37-40 depend from Claim 36, which as amended and as otherwise argued above is allowable over the art cited by the Examiner. Therefore, these claims should be allowed.

The Examiner rejected Claim 13 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudd in view of Anderson in further view of Yamada (U.S. 4,916,487). Claim 13 has been amended to depend from Claim 36. Pursuant to the arguments presented above, as Claim 36 is allowable, Applicant respectfully argues that Claim 13 which includes each and every limitation of Claim 36 and intervening Claim 8, is allowable and Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of Claim 13 be withdrawn.

Page 13 of 13

CONCLUSION

Applicant now believes that it has addressed each of the Examiner's grounds of rejection either through cancellation or amendment of affect claims. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BROUSE MCDOWELL

9-7-2006
Date

Telephone No.: (330) 535-5711
Fax No.: (330) 253-8601

Deron Cook
Deron A. Cook
Reg. No. 52,767
388 S. Main Street, Suite 500
Akron, Ohio 44311-4407

#655353